### H

# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	) ) )
Bruce Alexander Von Herzon, M.D.  Physician's and Surgeon's	) File No. 10-1998-89472 )
Certificate No. G-28992  Respondent	) ) 
<u>I</u>	DECISION
The attached Stipulation for Surrand Order of the Division of Medical Qu Department of Consumer Affairs, State of	
This Decision shall become effecti	ve at 5:00 p.m. on May 16, 2000
IT IS SO ORDERED May 9,	2000
	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
	By:  IRA LUBELL, M.D.  Chair, Panel A  Division of Medical Quality

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General 1 of the State of California SANFORD H. FELDMAN, 2 Deputy Attorney General Bar No. 47775 California Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 1100 4 Post Office Box 85266 5 San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2079 . 6 Attorneys for Complainant - 7 8 9

## BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Complainant, Ron Joseph, Executive Director of the Medical Board of California ("Board"), by and through his attorney, Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Sanford Feldman, Deputy Attorney General, and Bruce Alexander Von Herzen, M.D. ("respondent"), by and through his attorney, William R. Winship, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. Respondent has received and read the Accusation which is presently on file and pending in Case No. 10-98-89472 before the Division of Medical Quality of the Board ("Division"). ///

-6

- 3. Respondent is aware of each of his rights, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against respondent, the right to testify and present evidence on his own behalf, as well as to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents, the right to contest the charges and allegations, and other rights which are accorded respondent pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code, § 11500 et seq.) and other applicable laws, including the right to seek reconsideration, review by the superior court, and appellate review.
- 4. Respondent has discussed the foregoing with his counsel and freely and voluntarily waives each and every one of the rights set forth in paragraph 3.
- 5. For the purpose of resolving Accusation No. 10-98-89472, respondent agrees that, at a hearing, complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges and agrees to be bound by the Division's disciplinary order as set forth below.
- 6. Respondent understands that by signing this Stipulation he is enabling the Division to issue its order

accepting the surrender of his license without further process.

He understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for

complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding

this Stipulation, without notice to or participation by

respondent. The Division will not be disqualified from further

action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this

Stipulation. In the event that this Stipulation is rejected for

any reason by the Division, it will be of no force or effect for

either party except for this paragraph.

- 7. Upon acceptance of this Stipulation by the Division, respondent agrees to cause to be delivered to the Division both his licenses and wallet certificate before the effective date of the Decision. Respondent further understands that, on or after the effective date of this Decision, he will no longer be permitted to practice as a physician and surgeon in California.
- 8. Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever files an application for relicensure or reinstatement in the State of California, the Division shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement and respondent must comply with all laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed.
- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever files an application for relicensure or reinstatement, all of the allegations and charges in the Accusation will be deemed true and correct and respondent will reimburse the Division the amount of \$1,699.25 as the costs of investigation and prosecution of this

matter. Unless otherwise agreed by the Division, such reimbursement shall be paid in full prior to the processing of the application for relicensure or reinstatement.

3

4

5

.6

. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

10. The parties agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulation, including facsimile signatures of the parties, may be used in lieu of original documents and signatures. The facsimile copies will have the same force and effect as originals.

#### ACCEPTANCE

I, Bruce Alexander Von Herzen, M.D., having carefully read the above stipulation and discussed it with my attorney, enter into it freely and voluntarily, and, with full knowledge of its force and effect, do hereby tender for surrender my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 28992 to the Division. By signing this Stipulation to surrender my license, I recognize that upon its formal acceptance by the Division, I will lose all rights and privileges to practice as a physician and surgeon in the State of California and I also will cause to be /// /// 1///  $\parallel / / /$ 111 /// /// ||/// ///

1	delivered to the Division both my licenses and wallet certificate
2	before the effective date of the Decision.
3	DATED: 3-25-1200.
4	
5	
- 6	
7	Irua ( - Mr thin ms
8	BRUCE ALEXANDER VON HERZEN, M.D. Respondent
9	We concur in the stimulation and order
10	We concur in the stipulation and order.  DATED: 3-26-60
11	DATED:
12	•
13	mane.
14	William B. Winghin Egg
15	William R. Winship, Esq. Attorney for Respondent
16	DATED: 3-29-00
17	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California
18	of the State of California
19	
20	Sadoro Tela
21	Sanford Feldman
22	Deputy Attorney General
23	Attorneys for Complainant
24	sf\c:\dat\stipulation\ vonherzensurrender.3/22/00
25	
26	
27	

1 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General FILED of the State of California STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 SANFORD H. FELDMAN, **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA** Deputy Attorney General SACRAMENTO Anuary 19 19 99 3 Bar No. 47775 Moone California Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 1100 4 Post Office Box 85266 5 San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2079 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 8 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 9 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 10-98-89472 12 BRUCE ALEXANDER VON HERZEN, M.D. ACCUSATION 13 33911 Via De Aqua San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675 14 Physician's and Surgeons's 15 Certificate No. G 28992 16 Respondent. 17 18 Complainant, Ron Joseph, as cause for disciplinary 19 action, alleges: 20 **PARTIES** 21 Complainant is the Executive Director of the 22 Medical Board of California ("Board") and makes and files this 23 Accusation solely in his official capacity. 24 On or about March 17, 1995, Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 28992 was issued by the Board to 25 Bruce Alexander Von Herzen, M.D. At all time relevant to this Accusation, said Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in 27

full force and effect. Unless renewed, said certificate will 1 2 expire on September 30, 2000. 3 JURISDICTION This Accusation is made in reference to the 4 following statutes of the California Business and Professions 5 6 Code ("Code"): \_ 7 Α. Code section 2227 provides, in pertinent 8 part, that the Division may revoke, suspend for a period not 9 to exceed one year, or place on probation and order the 10 payment of probation monitoring costs, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical 11 12 Practice Act. 13 В. Code section 2234 provides, in pertinent 14 part, that the Division shall take action against any 15 licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. 16 Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, 17 the following: 18 19 "(b) Gross negligence. 20 "(c) Repeated negligent acts. 21 "(d) Incompetence. "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or 22 23 corruption which is substantially related to the 24 qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and 25 surgeon. 26 H . . . . H

27

- C. Code section 2236 provides, in pertinent part, that a conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1.
- D. Code section 822 provides, in pertinent part, that if a licensing agency determines that its licentiate's ability to practice his or her profession safely is impaired because the licentiate is mentally ill, or physically ill affecting competency, the licensing agency may take action by revoking the licentiate's certificate or license, by suspending the licentiate's right to practice, by placing the licentiate on probation, or by taking such other action in relation to the licentiate as the licensing agency in its discretion deems proper.
- E. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 4. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions
  Code provides, in pertinent part, that: "Upon receipt of written

notice from the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, or the Board of Dental Examiners of California, that a licensee's license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, the department [of Health Services] may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation including any dental surgery or invasive procedure, that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in any case in which the relevant licensing board determines that compelling circumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim, including any claim for dental services, as so described. In such a case, the department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

.7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Crime)

- 5. Respondent Bruce Alexander Von Herzen, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon, in violation of Code section 2236. The specifics are set forth below.
  - A. On January 1, 1998, respondent entered the vehicle of J.E. with the intent to drive it away without

1.6

J.E.'s permission. J.M., a bystander, attempted to stop respondent. While doing so, J.M. was struck by the car door as respondent began driving away. Respondent was arrested and charged with assault with a deadly weapon in violation of Penal Code section 245(a)(1).

B. In San Diego Superior Court, Case No. SCE 186267, respondent was charged with assault with a deadly weapon, a felony in violation of Penal Code section 245(A)(1). On June 9, 1998, upon his plea of guilty, respondent was placed on three years probation with terms and conditions including one year in custody and restitution.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Mental Impairment)

6. Respondent Bruce Alexander Von Herzen, M.D., is further subject to disciplinary action, revocation, suspension and restriction, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 822, on account of the fact that he suffers from Schizo-Affective Disorder which impairs his ability to practice medicine safely without restriction.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, complainant requests that the Division hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein, and that following said hearing, the Division issue a decision:

 Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 28992, heretofore issued to respondent Bruce Alexander Von Herzen;

1
2
3
4
5
6
_7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

2.	Directing respondent Bruce Alexander Von Herzen
•	to pay to the Board a reasonable sum for its
	investigative and enforcement costs of this
	action, and directing respondent, if placed on
	probation, to pay the costs of probation
	monitoring; and,

3. Taking such other and further action as the Division deems appropriate to protect the public health, safety and welfare.

DATED:

away 19, 1999

Ron Joseph

Executive Director Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant

sf\c:\dat\dat\accusations\
vonherzen\mbc.1/19/99